Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director of Enterprise, Tourism and the Environment

to

Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee

on

11th January 2010

Report prepared by: Cheryl Hindle-Terry, Team Leader (Demand Management)

Agenda Item No.

Objections to Traffic Regulation Orders
Prince Avenue Service Road
Executive Councillor: Councillor Waite
A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

For the Traffic and Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee to consider objections to an advertised Traffic Regulation Order, and make an appropriate decision.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 That the Traffic and Parking Working consider the objections to the Order and officers comments as detailed in Appendix 1 of this report, to:
 - 2.1.1 Make the Order without amendments, or
 - 2.1.2 Make the Order with amendments, or
 - 2.1.3 Take no further action
- 2.2 That the Cabinet Committee consider the views of the Traffic and Parking Working Party in respect of the objections and
 - 2.2.1 Make the Order without amendments, or
 - 2.2.2 Make the Order with amendments, or
 - 2.2.3 Take no further action

In the event of either 2.2.1 or 2.2.2 being agreed to authorise the making of the Orders as advertised, or amend to reduce the impact, as appropriate.

3. Background

3.1 Waiting restrictions to protect the junction of the service road were implemented earlier this year. Following implementation of the restrictions, requests were received to extend the lines. The service road is narrow to a point then widening. Parking in the narrow part of the service road does impede larger vehicles therefore additional restrictions were advertised.

3.2 Following advertisement the comments detailed in Appendix 1 of the report were received.

Other Options 4.

4.1 All options are detailed above.

5. Reasons for Recommendations

5.1 To ensure adequate carriageway is maintained to allow for larger vehicles to access the properties.

6. **Corporate Implications**

6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities

> The proposal is based on a reduction of potential traffic hazards therefore resulting in safer roads.

6.2 Financial Implications

> Traffic Regulation Orders require formal advertisement, which has been undertaken and funded through existing budgets.

6.3 Legal Implications

> The formal statutory consultative process has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

6.4 People Implications

> Staff time as required to organise and monitor the required works. This will be met from existing resources.

6.5 **Property Implications**

None

6.6 Consultation

> Formal consultation has been undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street.

7. **Background Papers**

None

8. **Appendices**

8.1 Appendix 1 – details of objections and officers comments/recommendations.